

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/594,611	04/27/2007	Martin Larsson	41411	4995
116 7550 PEARNE & GORDON LL.P 1801 EAST 9 TH STREET SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			EXAMINER	
			DANG, HOANG C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3672	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/594.611 LARSSON, MARTIN Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Hoang Dang 3672 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 April 2010. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/594,611

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last
Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuno et al (JP 08-252819).

Kuno et al disclose a core drilling device comprising a drilling machine 10 with a core drill 24, a stand 18, a feed housing 20 that suspends the drilling machine 10 and it is movable along the stand, an electric drilling motor 26 for rotation of the core drill 24 in the drilling machine and a feed unit 36 with an electric feeding motor 34 for feeding the feeding housing along the stand 18, a control unit 40 that is electrically connected to the feeding unit 36 comprising at least a first control (the control knob or/and switch on the controlling part 40) for manual influence of the feeding motor's 34 feeding force.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 2-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuno et al '819.

Art Unit: 3672

Kuno et al disclose a core drilling device substantially as claimed. It is not clear whether Kuno et al include a torque regulator, speed regulator, torque limiting device, water controlling means, rotational speed indicator and automatic stopping means called for in the claims. However, the examiner would like to take an Official notice that the use of a torque or speed regulator or limiting device is well known in the well drilling art to optimize the drilling operation. It is conventional to use water as a drilling fluid (thereby water flow control valve) to cool the drill bit and to carry cuttings. It is also common to provide an operator with rotational speed indicator so he/she can effectively control the drilling operation. Also, it has been held that broadly providing a mechanical or automatic means to replace manual activity which has accomplished the same result involves only routine skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. It is noted that unlike the previously applied reference (Yatsuyanagi et al '117), the drilling device of Kuno et al '819 comprises a core drill. The "first control" as recited in the claims does not distinguish from any knob or switch on the controlling part 40 of Kuno et al that is utilized to turn the bit feeding motor 34 on or off.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,611 Page 4

Art Unit: 3672

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hoang Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-7028.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9:15-5:45 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hoang Dang/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3672